UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS LAREDO DIVISION

LAWRENCE (LARRY) W. SINCLAIR)	
Plaintiff, Pro Se)	
)	Case No. 5:23-CV-109
VS)	
)	
BRIAN MARK KRASSENSTEIN, et al)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

This matter having come before the Court by PLAINTIFF UNOPPOSED

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION

(DKT 21) TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO RULE 12(b)(6) OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF

CIVIL PROCEDURE, AND IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE

PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1404. (DKT 29 replacing DKT 28 to reflect Defendants not opposing) and Granting said Motion would better serve this Court and the parties in this Court ruling on defendants Motion,

This Court hereby GRANTS Plaintiff Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Respond, and as such,

Plaintiff Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Respond (DKT 29) is **GRANTED** and it is the **ORDER** of this Court setting the date by which Plaintiff must file his response to Defendants Motion (DKT 21) March 15, 2024.

·	•
SO ORDERED this	_ day of February 2024.
	U.S. Magistrate Judge